UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,920	02/07/2001	Jacques Dumas	BAYER 15 P3	6183
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			EXAMINER	
			DESAI, RITA J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/777,920	DUMAS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Rita J. Desai	1625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statud Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4)	awn from consideration. 2 and 45-47 is/are rejected.	application.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	_			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 2, 4, 5, 12, 15, 16, 25, 27, 34-37, 39, 40, 42 and 45-47 are now pending in the application.

Objection:-

Applicants have listed claims 13 and 14 as pending, however they are cancelled in the claim set.

The rejection under 35 USC 112 over claim 36 has been withdrawn as applicants do have some data for the colorectal cancer, in the specifications.

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103 over Atwal however still stands.

Applicants argue that the substitution is on another ring. However the examiners position is that these compounds appear to have the same utility and in view of KSR, there is clear motivation to move the same substitutent from one to the other phenyl. Especially so when Atwal discloses a broad genus, wherein X can be a bond or an O and R1 can be an aryl which may be substituted. See column 2 for the definition of aryl.

So when the teaching is there that it can be substituted, one of skill in the art would be motivated to make different positional substituted compounds. Besides applicants various examples made in the tables do not disclose any compounds wherein B is a pyridyl and L' is substituted by - CORx.

Thus the rejection is maintained.

New Rejections

Art Unit: 1625

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 2, 33, 35 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 21 of U.S. Patent No. 7371763. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they They are drawn to the same core and read on the same compounds, wherei B is a quinolinyl, isoquinolinyl (substituted or unsubstituted, and M is O, L is a Phenyl and L' ia a phenyl or a pyridyl substituted by CORx.

Claims 35 and 36 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 22, 23 of U.S. Patent No. not yet issued but allowed US application 11/932269. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Application/Control Number: 09/777,920 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

patentably distinct from each other because These are also drawn to treating carcinoma of the colon.

Conclusion

Claims 2, 4, 5, 12, 15,16, 25, 27, 34-37, 39, 40, 42 and 45-47 stand rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, flex time..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rita J. Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625